



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,871	08/01/2003	Naoshi Kobuya	SONYJP 3.0-319	4649
530	7590 07/15/2005	EXAMINER		INER
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			TO, TUAN C	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD,			3663	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	o. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary								
		10/632,871	KOBUYA ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tuan C. To	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how inication. It days, a reply within the statutory m utory period will apply and will expiritely, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considere a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	this communication.				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 01 August 2003.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.							
3)□	·							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003 and 28 November 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)		•					
2) Notic 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	ı (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 1 is a combination claim, drawn to a guide system for generating guide contents from site information, classified in class 701, subclass 300.
 - II. Claims 2-4, and 8-13 are subcombination claims, drawn to server and process of generating guide contents from site information, classified in class 700, subclass 09.
 - III. Claims 5-7, and 14-19 are subcombination claims, drawn to portable device and process utilizing information on information sources, classified in class 701/200.
 - IV. Claims 20-25 are product claims, drawn to a computer-readable medium with information processing program, classified in class 369/30.18.
- 2. Inventions IV and HHHH are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other materially different product, such as printed (ie, hard-copy) instructions.
- 3. Inventions I and H/H/are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

Application/Control Number: 10/632,871 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombination are not set forth in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a backup system for a main frame computer.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the invention II has separate utility such as a backup system for a main frame computer.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

6. Species Election:

Upon election of invention I, II, III, or IV, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):

- A. The embodiment of figures 1-19.
- B. The embodiment of figure 20.
- C. The embodiment of figures 22-23.
- D. The embodiment of figures 24-26.
- E. The embodiment of figures 27A/B-28.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,871

Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3663

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Conclusions

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/tc